

A Nonlinear Adaptive Level Set for Intravascular ultrasound images Segmentation

Mehdi Eslamizadeh¹, Nader Jafarnia Dabanloo², Gholamreza Attarodi²,

Javid Farhadi Sedehi², Mehrdad Mohandespoor²

¹Biomedical Engineering Department, Qazvin Islamic Azad University, Qazvin, Iran

²Biomedical Engineering Department, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

In this paper, a level set method (LSM) with the aim of segmenting lumen and non-lumen pixels and Hidden Markov Random Field (HMRF) with the purpose of computing boundaries of lumen are proposed. This proposed methods was evaluated on IVUS images of 7 patients and also our results have shown that using LSM-HMRF methods leads to increasing accuracy up to 85%. Results also showed that combination of LSM-HMRF could successfully identify the lumen boundary. The main advantage of this method is that one pattern using LSM from all of IVUS images is obtained. The simulation results depicted the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Introduction

In comparison with other medical imaging systems IVUS has many scientific benefits and has the ability to detect objects clearly. ACC¹ and AHA² mentioned the following phrase about angioplasty which is used with the aim of treating coronary artery diseases:

The limitation of angioplasty in detection of diseases and also the guidance of intervention processes in coronary artery could be reduced by additional technology such as intravascular ultrasound (Wang, Gao, Tao, & Li, 2014). During the process of sending catheter into the vessel about hundreds or thousands of images are recorded. Thus computerized detection of

middle and external vascular partitions of lumen boundaries for the investigation of blockage rate and calculation of internal space that blood flows through it is indispensable. This area in IVUS images is shown darkly and can be a sign of detection in vascular partitions (Eslamizadeh, Attarodi, Dabanloo, Sedehi, & Setaredan, 2017). In other words, since the bloody red cells inside the lumen leads to creating large scattering among ultrasound signals detection of lumen boundaries is more challenging especially when high frequency transducers are utilized (Katouzian, Baseri, Konofagou, & Laine, 2008). In order to solve dissection problems different algorithms are proposed such as graph-based, gradient-based with dynamic programming, formable models with combinations of statistical features based on intensity of bloody and non-bloody areas, probability-based methods ,active counter methods and multi-scale ones.

The proposed algorithm for lumen detection

At the beginning the segmentation algorithm prepares a primary guess in IVUS images with the purpose of lumen segmentation. Then, this program should cause a procedure for this curve. The output of this program is a 3-dimensional procedure which is used in main program with the aim of executing segmentation on considered image. Moreover, the zero level set counter is extracted

¹ American College of Cardiology

² American heart association

from this procedure as final segment. The main relation is as follows, which has 3 main terms and also nonlinear and adaptive expressions can be seen in this relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = & -\mu \left[\Delta \varphi - \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|} \right) \right] - \\ & \lambda \delta(\varphi) \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla \varphi}{1 + P(\Omega_1 | I) P(\Omega_2 | I) |\nabla G_\sigma * I|^m |\nabla \varphi|} \right) \\ & + \frac{k \delta(\varphi) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\zeta A df(x, y))} - 0.5 \right)}{1 + P(\Omega_1 | I) P(\Omega_2 | I) |\nabla G_\sigma * I|^m} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Implementation of first term

The first term in (1) depicts that φ function should not far away from the main found segments. The second one lets the procedure to be small or big and finally the third one can be used for sending external parts out and internal parts in. The k is positive in external parts and is in negative parts. In order to implement bayesian rules the prior probability is required, which depicts that how much a pixel is belonged to object and background. The prior probability for image is considered $\frac{1}{3}$ for object and $\frac{2}{3}$ for background, the first term is implemented in Matlab using Laplacian operator.

Implementation of second term

In order to extract zero level set procedure unit step function and impulse one are required and as a result all the calculation should be done around zero level set and procedure function should be in intersection position with zero plane. Moreover, the proposed impulse function is as follows:

$$\delta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{x^2 + \varepsilon^2} \quad (2)$$

Where $1 < \varepsilon < 5$ is a constant and it should be noted that increasing this parameter leads to smoothness of the process. It is required to take a gradient from constructed procedure for IVUS and taking divergence of nominator and denominator with the purpose of implementing the second term.

Implementation of third term

For implementing the third term adf function should describe. It is a function that is used for creating revolution of curve procedure, which should be robust against the position of primary curve and also utilizes global statistical features. $\sigma_1, \mu_1, \sigma_2, \mu_2$ Parameters are average and standard deviation of object, which is updated in a loop that procedure function varies and new counter is calculated. These parameter are updated as follows:

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\int_{\Omega} I(x, y) H(\varphi) dx dy}{\int_{\Omega} H(\varphi) dx dy}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{\int_{\Omega} I(x, y) (1 - H(\varphi)) dx dy}{\int_{\Omega} (1 - H(\varphi)) dx dy}$$

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{\int_{\Omega} (I(x, y) - \mu_1)^2 H(\varphi) dx dy}{\int_{\Omega} H(\varphi) dx dy}, \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{\int_{\Omega} (I(x, y) - \mu_1)^2 (1 - H(\varphi)) dx dy}{\int_{\Omega} (1 - H(\varphi)) dx dy}$$

The H function is heveaside. This parameters are required for extracting adf and also in this study they extracted using bayesian rule.

$$A df(x, y) = \frac{(I(x, y) - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{(I(x, y) - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} - \ln \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \quad (5)$$

This function suggests a new regulation for revolution procedure of IVUS. Considering the revolution curve has a disadvantage that when its value is high weak boundaries are subject to lose and when its value is small convergence speed reduces. In order to overcome these difficulties and speeding the process up an equilibrium between these two states is introduced, which is as follows:

$$v(x, y) = k \left[\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\zeta A df(x, y))} - 0.5 \right] \quad (6)$$

Where $P(\Omega_1 | I)$ is the approximate probability, which considers if a pixel belongs to object or not, T_p is the threshold that assumed to be 0.5 and k, ζ are constant parameters that control magnitude and the non-linear degree of speed. Whenever the $A df(x, y)$ is positive then the closed curvature will expand so that it will include (x, y) pixel the reason why is that this pixel belongs to object. In other words. Closed curvature should reduce so that it won't include a pixel which is belonged to background. The above relation is a sigmoid function that transfers around vertical axes, this procedure is shown in figure 2. Whenever $P(\Omega_1 | I)$ is near to T_p pixels are similar to object and background and as a results belong to boundaries.




Figure 1: The revolution of curvature using non-linear adaptive speed.




Figure 2: Sigmoid function using different values of

$$P(\Omega_1 | I) - T_p$$

The k parameter is equal to 15 and ζ is equal to 2. After implementing the third term an adaptive relation should provide with the purpose of making new procedure and updating all the considered weights, which the following relation is utilized with this purpose. This function causes a 3-dimensional procedure based on 2-dimensional image and neighborhood according to (7):

$$\varphi_0 = \begin{cases} d & (x, y) \in \Omega_0 - \sigma\Omega_0 \\ 0 & (x, y) \in \sigma\Omega_0 \\ -d & (x, y) \in \Omega - \Omega_0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Where d is a constant, Ω is the magnitude of image, Ω_0 is a closed area with a primary closed curvature $\sigma\Omega_0$. λ is a constant and the bigger is the more smooth is the curvature. For writing probable rules of

bayesian (8) and (9) relations are implemented. Moreover, the prior probability is $\frac{1}{3}$, which reflects the probability of a pixel belongs to object.

$$P(I(x, y) | \Omega_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(I(x, y) - \mu_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right) \quad (8)$$

$$P(\Omega_i | I) = \frac{P(I | \Omega_i)P(\Omega_i)}{P(I | \Omega_1)P(\Omega_1) + P(I | \Omega_2)P(\Omega_2)} \quad (9)$$

Discussion and Conclusion

Utilized data base in this study is extracted from BSD-300. The proposed algorithm has some geometrical shapes and using execution of this algorithms on images the obtained results are investigated.





Figure 3: (A) The created map from blood, (B) the fusion of blood map with grayscale image of IVUS and (C) manual extraction of lumen boundary (yellow color), computerized extraction lumen boundary (red color) after neural network thresholding

As can be seen the claim that initial condition of images changes its situation is accepted and a

rectangle is considered as initial condition and it is converted to a circle.

References

Eslamizadeh, M., Attarodi, G., Dabanloo, N. J., Sedehi, J. F., & Setaredan, S. K. (2017). The Segmentation of Lumen Boundaries at Intravascular Ultrasound Images Using Fuzzy Approach. *Computing*, 44, 1.

Katouzian, A., Baseri, B., Konofagou, E. E., & Laine, A. F. (2008). *Automatic detection of blood versus non-blood regions on intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) images using wavelet packet signatures*. Paper presented at the Medical Imaging 2008: Ultrasonic Imaging and Signal Processing.

Wang, B., Gao, X., Tao, D., & Li, X. (2014). A nonlinear adaptive level set for image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 44(3), 418-428.

Address for correspondence:

Mehdi Eslamizadeh at Biomedical Engineering Department, Qazvin Islamic Azad University, Qazvin, Iran

m_eslamizadeh_2006@yahoo.com

Nader Jafarnia Dabanloo at Biomedical Engineering Department, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

n_jafarnia@yahoo.com

Gholamreza Attarodi at Biomedical Engineering Department, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

attarodi@gmail.com