Reverse Electrical Remodeling Assessed by High-Frequency QRS Dyssynchrony and QRS Duration
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Abstract

Reverse electrical remodeling (RER) is usually assessed by measuring the QRS duration (QRSd) before and after cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) during the stimulator off. The ventricular electrical depolarization dyssynchrony (DYS) can be assessed directly from ECG by high-frequency QRS analysis. The purpose of the study was to compare the RER assessment by the DYS and QRSd changes in CRT patients.

Echocardiography and 12-lead ECG were conducted in 26 CRT recipients. The averaged V1-V6 QRS envelopes were calculated through frequency ranges from 150 to 950Hz. The DYS was assessed from the envelopes, and the QRSd was measured. All the ECG parameters were assessed before, after, and 6 months after the CRT during CRT off. Patients were divided to CRT responders/non-responders by the change of end-systolic volume of the left ventricle in a 6-month follow-up.

Mean values of the DYS and QRSd parameters evince significantly shorter values in non-responders before CRT. When compared changes of DYS and QRSd, only DYS parameter is significantly shorter after 6-month follow-up compared with baseline DYS prior CRT.

The DYS parameter change as a marker of RER corresponds with reverse structural remodeling assessed by echocardiography.

1. Introduction

Cardiac remodeling refers to a structural modification of myocardium clinically manifesting as changes in size, structure, geometry, and function of the heart resulting from cardiac injury [1]. Pathological process of remodeling may be slowed down or even reversed by modern pharmacotherapy and/or by non-pharmacological methods. Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has been showed to induce reverse structural remodeling (RSR) in heart failure patients (HF) [2]. Since the CRT delivers its therapy through electrical bi-ventricular pacing, the possibility of the reverse electrical remodeling (RER), as a function of the QRS duration (QRSd) change, has also been previously investigated. Unfortunately, the results remain rather inconclusive. Several studies have demonstrated the RER of the native conduction with CRT [3, 4], but others have reported no positive shortening of the QRSd after the CRT [5, 6].

We have recently presented a novel method of an assessment of the ventricular electrical depolarization pattern [7]. In short, the ventricular electrical activation can be determined directly from the surface ECG V leads by the processing of the higher frequency components present in QRS complex. Their temporal distribution properties carry information about the timeline of an electrical activation and allow for the precise assessment of the possibly dyssynchronous depolarization of ventricles (DYS) in units of milliseconds.

The specific properties of the DYS parameter may also allow assessing the RER in CRT patients. The purpose of the study was to compare the RER assessment by the DYS and QRSd changes in CRT patients.

2. Methods

26 left bundle branch block (LBBB) consecutive CRT recipients (4 females, 19 dilated cardiomyopathies (DCM), 5 coronary artery diseases (CAD) and 2 coincidences of DCM+CAD) were included in the study. Echocardiography (Vivid E9, GE Healthcare, Wauwatosa, WI) and 5 min measurement of 12-lead ECG (SciSDA14, M&I s r.o., Prague, Czech Republic) in calm supine position with sampling frequency 5kHz and resolution 24bits were conducted for further analysis.

The V1-V6 QRS depolarization envelopes maps were calculated for the passband width 100Hz from 150 to 950Hz with 100Hz step using signal averaging technique
to improve the signal-to-noise ratio as described in [7]. The DYS parameter was assessed automatically as an average of differences between soonest and latest activation in V leads’ envelopes over the passbands. The activation difference was determined as an average of three methods: (1) time difference between 2 most distant maximums of the amplitude envelopes, (2) time difference between 2 most distant centers of gravity of the envelopes with 50% and (3) 30% envelope base cut off (Figure 1-3, bottom – black, green dashed, and green curve, respectively).

The standard QRSd was measured fully automatically using the custom-made software [8].

All the QRSd and DYS values were obtained before, 1-3 days after, and 6 months after the CRT in native conduction (during CRT off). Patients with a relative decrease of 10% and more in end-systolic volume (ESV) of the left ventricle after 6-month follow-up were considered CRT responders.

### 3. Results

Of all 26 patients were 18 CRT responders by the improved ESV after 6 months of follow-up.

Mean values (Table 1.) of DYS and QRSd parameters were significantly lower in non-responders before CRT. DYS was also significantly lower in non-responders just after CRT, but QRSd was closely non-significant (P=0.06). Both DYS and QRSd were non-significant when measured during 6-month follow-up.

Tab. 1: Mean values of the DYS and QRSd parameters assessed before CRT implantation, just after the CRT implantation with CRT Off, and during 6-month follow-up with CRT Off divided into CRT responders (R) and non-responders (NR). The values are represented as a mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean values [ms]</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before CRT</td>
<td>79 ± 27</td>
<td>52 ± 20</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYS</td>
<td>169 ± 14</td>
<td>155 ± 14</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRSd</td>
<td>77 ± 26</td>
<td>48 ± 23</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After CRT Off</td>
<td>77 ± 26</td>
<td>48 ± 23</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYS</td>
<td>168 ± 16</td>
<td>156 ± 12</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRSd</td>
<td>67 ± 28</td>
<td>51 ± 19</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6M CRT Off</td>
<td>165 ± 20</td>
<td>157 ± 10</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was a significantly lower DYS after 6-month follow-up compared to baseline DYS, while there was no DYS parameter change before and just after the CRT (Table 2.). No significant difference was found in QRSd just after CRT and 6-month follow-up against the baseline prior CRT (Table 3.). The data from 8 CRT non-responders did not show any significant differences of DYS or QRSd just after the CRT and 6-month follow-up compared to baseline values (Table 2 and 3.).

### Tab. 2: Changes of the DYS parameter between three stages of the measurement - before CRT implantation, just after the CRT implantation with CRT Off, and during 6-month follow-up with CRT Off divided into CRT responders (R) and non-responders (NR). The values are represented as a mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DYS changes [ms]</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>NR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After CRT Off –</td>
<td>-3 ± 9</td>
<td>-3 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before CRT</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6M CRT Off –</td>
<td>-12 ± 18</td>
<td>-4 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before CRT</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6M CRT Off -</td>
<td>-6 ± 11</td>
<td>0 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after CRT Off</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tab. 3: Changes of the QRSd parameter between three stages of the measurement - before CRT implantation, just after the CRT implantation with CRT Off, and during 6-month follow-up with CRT Off divided into CRT responders (R) and non-responders (NR). The values are represented as a mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QRSd changes [ms]</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>NR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After CRT Off –</td>
<td>0 ± 4</td>
<td>1 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before CRT</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6M CRT Off –</td>
<td>-4 ± 16</td>
<td>2 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before CRT</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6M CRT Off -</td>
<td>0 ± 9</td>
<td>1 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after CRT Off</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Example of the CRT responder by echocardiography (ESV increase by 12%); with extensive shortening of QRSd from 177ms to 121ms and DYS from 112ms to 43ms. QRS depolarization envelopes maps are created by amplitude normalization over the V lead.
envelopes (max. amplitude – red, min. amplitude – blue color), arranging envelopes into rows from V1 to V6 and performing a linear interpolation between the rows.

4. Discussion

Significant differences in the mean values of the DYS and QRSd parameters exist between CRT responders and non-responders defined by echocardiography. These findings are consistent with previously reported findings. Wider QRS is a known factor for positive CRT response [9]. We have recently shown, on part of the MADIT-CRT trial data, that higher baseline DYS values predict better benefit from CRT in LBBB patients [10]. The differences are also evident in the DYS and QRSd measured after biventricular pacemaker implantation during CRT Off, but only DYS varies significantly between responders and non-responders. QRSd differences slipped just below the significance (p=0.06). The similar differences between responders and non-responders persist even after 6 months of CRT (during CRT Off) but are not significant enough.

The evaluation of the reverse structural remodeling after CRT is clinically well established [1] with an ongoing effort for a methodological improvement [11]. Unlike, the mechanism standing behind the reverse electrical remodeling as well as its diagnostic potential still remain rather unclear. Although the RER is primarily assessed by the change of the QRSd parameter, the non-existent unified methodology for the RER assessment could be one of the reasons.

Studies that assess the RER by comparing the QRSd during the biventricular pacing [12-14] report significant changes in QRSd before and after the CRT. But the majority of the published articles, evaluating RER, deal with the measurement of QRSd during native conduction before and after the CRT (CRT Off). Unfortunately, the reported results with native conduction vary considerably; from shortening the QRSd during follow-up by 11ms [3] or 19ms [4] to prolongation of the QRSd by 1ms [5] or 6ms [6]. Our results also did not find any significant differences in QRSd measured before CRT and during native conduction 6 months after CRT. The reason for such inconsistency between studies is unknown. It could be partially caused by using different methods of QRSd measurement. But there still remain other fundamental problems to be solved, such as whether to measure RER during biventricular pacing On or Off and the exact time course of measurement conduction (the long-term follow-up as well as the waiting period before measurement after the pacemaker settings change).

Ambiguous results of the RER assessment by intrinsic QRSd open a question whether the QRSd parameter is sensitive and specific enough to securely evaluate RER. Our recently introduced DYS parameter [7] showed the significant reduction of the native dyssynchronous depolarization after 6 months of CRT despite no change in QRSd (An example in Figure 2.). The QRSd parameter represents the whole activation of the ventricles and it does not necessarily reflect dyssynchronous activation via bundle branch blockades (BBB). On the other hand, the DYS parameter, by its nature, is able not only clearly distinguish BBB but also evaluate any activation changes in BBB if present after the follow-up.

The DYS changes have not been found in CRT non-responders (An example in Figure 3.), except one DCM+CAD patient (ESV increase by only 5%), whose DYS shortened from 48ms to 22ms (193ms to 191ms), but the substantial decrease of DYS (109ms to 79ms). QRS depolarization envelopes maps are created by amplitude normalization over the V lead envelopes (max. amplitude – red, min. amplitude – blue color), arranging envelopes into rows from V1 to V6 and performing a linear interpolation between the rows.

![Figure 2. Example of the CRT responder by echocardiography (ESV increase by 27%); with almost no change of QRSd (193ms to 191ms), but the substantial decrease of DYS (109ms to 79ms). QRS depolarization envelopes maps are created by amplitude normalization over the V lead envelopes (max. amplitude – red, min. amplitude – blue color), arranging envelopes into rows from V1 to V6 and performing a linear interpolation between the rows.](image)

5. Conclusion

The changes of the DYS parameter show a significant shortage of the ventricular conduction delay in CRT responders during native conduction, whereas the QRSd does not evince any significant changes. The DYS change
as a marker of RER corresponds with reverse structural remodeling assessed by echocardiography.

Figure 3. Example of the CRT non-responder by echocardiography (no change of ESV); with almost no change of QRSd (157ms to 160ms) and DYS (61ms to 58ms). QRS depolarization envelopes maps are created by amplitude normalization over the V lead envelopes (max. amplitude – red, min. amplitude – blue color), arranging envelopes into rows from V1 to V6 and performing a linear interpolation between the rows.
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