Myocardial Transmural Electrical Disruption Affects Electrogram Pattern

Mirabeau Saha 1,2, Caroline Roney 3, Hubert Cochet 2, Steven Niederer 3, Edward Vigmond 2, Stanley Nattel 1,2,4

1 Department of Medicine and Research Center, Montreal Heart Institute, Montreal, QC, Canada
2 IHU Liryc, Electrophysiology and Heart Modeling Institute, Fondation Bordeaux Université, Pessac, France
3 Department of Biomedical Engineering, King’s College London, London, United Kingdom
4 Institute of Pharmacology, West German Heart and Vascular Center, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

Abstract

Myocardial structural remodeling leads to atrial fibrillation (AF). Interstitial collagen deposits remodel myocardium, causing disruption of electrical propagation from the epicardial layer to the endocardial layer. How these changes manifest on the electrogram (EGM) is unclear. Here we investigate the consequences of epicardium-endocardium electrical dissociation on EGMs. Left atrial patient-specific bilayer computational models with interstitial collagen deposit distributions were constructed using MRI from AF patients (n = 11). Interstitial collagen was incorporated as microstructural discontinuities causing transmural dissociation in the high fibrosis areas. Changes in EGMs were computed relative to the non-fibrotic models, considered as controls. For each patient, changes in the unipolar EGM characteristics, including amplitude, duration, number of deflections, shape asymmetry and correlation coefficient were computed in the fibrotic areas. A propagation delay of 17±7 ms was observed between the epicardial and the endocardial layers. No perfect downhill or uphill linear relationship between control model EGMs and the corresponding ones in the fibrotic models was found. With the collagen deposits, amplitude decreases and increased fractionation on EGMs were significant. EGM area also tended to become smaller, and duration and waveform asymmetry were affected. In conclusion, transmural electrical dissociation due to collagen deposits leads to significant changes in the EGM pattern. Finally, combining EGM morphology together with clinical imaging data could be useful to distinguish substrate modifications, and select better ablation targets.

1. Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common and complex arrhythmia seen in clinical practice. It affects over 2.5 million people in USA [1]. Its incidence is increasing year by year, and an epidemic of AF is forecast within the next 10 to 20 years because of the worldwide aging of the population [2]. AF initiation and perpetuation mechanisms have been shown to be related to the atria tissue remodeling, due to fibrosis. Myocardium remodeling include collagen deposition, fibroblast coupling, myocyte replacement, and electrical remodeling. Fibrosis causes myocardial structural alteration and microstructural discontinuities with uncoupling of cells, leading to epicardium-endocardium electrical disruption [3]. Thus, this affects action potential propagation in the atrial tissue [4, 5]. The measurement of the electrograms (EGMs) on the atria surface, reflecting the action potential propagation, is helpful to identify the target for catheter ablation therapy of AF. The presence of microstructural discontinuities leads to wavefront collisions which can produce complex fractionated atrial EGMs during sinus rhythm and complex fractionated atrial EGM areas are targets during catheter ablation therapy [6, 7]. Although fibrosis has been associated with the presence of fractionated EGMs, determine the fibrosis nature by simple analysis of EGM characteristics is challenging [5]. Here we sought to determine the differences in AF EGMs in normal versus fibrotic atria (interstitial collagen deposits) with electrical disruption between the epicardial and endocardial layers.

2. Methods

2.1. Models

We used eleven patient-specific left atrial bilayer computational models from our previous work [8]. As described in that work, the bilayer models were constructed using late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data from patients with persistent AF (Fig. 1(A)). The LGE-MRI data were segmented and meshed to create finite element meshes with fiber direction and LGE distributions (Fig. 1(B)) suitable for electrophysiology simulations. Each left atrial bilayer model consisted of linearly coupled endocardial and epicardial layers. LGE distributions were assimilated to interstitial collagen deposition intensity (fibrosis). The fibrosis content varied from 14.5% to 42.2% for the eleven patients. To model the collagen deposition, LGE intensity were reduced by 60% on the epicardial layer. As shown in figure 1(C), the effects of collagen were modeled as microstructural discontinuities.
using edge-splitting method [9]. Moreover, the linear coupling between layers were disrupted in the fibrotic area. For each patient, the AF non-fibrotic model was taken as the control. In total, there were 22 left atrial bilayer AF models, 11 with fibrosis and the rest were controls.

2.2. Simulations

Each model was simulated for one beat during the sinus rhythm using the monodomain formulation, solved with the Cardiac Arrhythmia Research Package (CARP) simulator [7]. The stimulus was initiated on the point of attachment of Bachmann’s bundled. For a given instant, the EGM at electrode’s position \( p \) was computed using the following equation (1).

\[
\phi(p) = \int_\Omega \frac{\nabla V_m(p')(p - p')}{4\pi \sigma_e \|p - p'\|^3} d\Omega
\]

where \( \sigma_e \) is extracellular conductivity, \( d\Omega \), \( V_m \) is transmembrane voltage, \( p \) is the position of the electrode and \( p' \) is the position of \( d\Omega \). Unipolar EGMs were recorded in the fibrotic models at the same positions as for the control models.

2.3. Signal analysis

A clinical temporal filtering of polynomial order 3 was applied to all EGMs. Various EGM characteristics were used to evaluate the change from control to fibrotic cases. Let R and S be the absolute maximum amplitudes of the positive deflection and the negative deflection of an EGM, respectively. The peak to peak amplitude and asymmetry of an EGM are giving by the equations (2) and (3) [5], respectively.

\[
\text{Amplitude} = R + S
\]
\[
\text{Asymmetry} = (R - S)/(R + S)
\]

While the amplitude indicates the magnitude of EGM, the asymmetry reflects its mean sign (positive or negative). The number of deflections are defined as the number of local extrema whose local amplitude is larger than 1% of the amplitude. For each patient, the fractionation duration was computed by setting a threshold of 2.5% of the amplitude to define the onset-time and the end-time of the wavefront on each electrode. The correlation coefficient between the control EGM and the fibrotic one was computed using Pearson formulation. EGM area was defined as the time trapezoidal integral between the onset-time and the end-time of the signal.

3. Results and Discussion

Electric wave dynamic analysis showed that the collagen deposit causes perturbations and local irregularities at the microscale on the wavefront, and slowed down propagation of the action potential as observed in figure 2(A). Irregularities were very pronounced in the high fibrotic regions. Since the endocardium was more fibrotic than the epicardium, the wavefront propagation was slower and more discrete on the endocardium. The mean propagation delay between layers was 17±7 ms for the eleven patients. Wavefront collisions were common in the endocardium compared to the epicardium. Activation delay (and similar behaviors) between epicardium and endocardium in structurally remodeled atrial tissue was found in a clinical study by Eckstein et al [10]. This confirms the veracity of our mathematical study. A sample of unipolar EGM plots from patient 11 is represented in figure 2(B) for a single pace. Differences between EGM morphologies from control and fibrotic models are observed. The characterization of the differences is made in figure 3 for all the patients. For each patient, quantities are represented relative to the corresponding control. According to the correlation coefficient analysis (see figure 3(F)), there was no perfect down-
Figure 2: Electrical wave propagation and EGM: (A) Action potential propagation in endocardial and epicardial layers 100 ms after stimulation. Arrows indicate the wave front propagation direction. A delay is observed in the endocardial layer. (B) A sample of unipolar EGM from the 11th patient. Differences between EGM morphologies from control and fibrotic models are observed.

hill or uphill linear relationship between control model EGMs and the corresponding ones in the fibrotic models. In most cases, there was a weak or moderate uphill linear relationship between both EGMs. But some patients, such as patient 2, presented almost no relationship between control and fibrotic EGMs (the coefficient of correlation is almost zero). We observe in figure 3(A) a decreasing of EGM amplitude from the control to the fibrotic model due to the collagen deposit. For the eleven patients, the maximum decrease was 61.33% and the minimum was 11.68%. The mean amplitude decrease was 39±14% (p < 0.05) over all patients. While slowing down propagation, collagen tends to modify the EGM duration. From figure 3(B), we can notice that while 6 out of 11 patients experienced a decrease of EGM duration, the remaining 5 patients experienced significant increases. The duration increase was between −34.08% and +112.4% with a mean of 24±44% (p = 0.18) for the 11 patients. Due to the discrete nature of impulse propagation, significant fractionation appears on the fibrotic EGM despite the temporal filtering applied. As shown in figure 3(C), we observed a general increase of the number of deflections in the fibrotic models, ranging from 40% to 200% with a mean of 114±54% (p < 0.05) over all patients. EGM amplitude reduction due to collagen deposits led to the diminution of EGM area (As illustrated in figure 3(D)) from 40±9µVs for the control models to 33±10µVs for the fibrotic models (p = 0.08), with patient 4 being an exception in whom an increase was observed. It is highlighted on figure 3(E) that collagen deposit mainly caused an absolute decrease of the waveform asymmetry (7 cases out of 11). But in few cases, we observed an absolute increase of the asymmetry (3 cases over 11) due to the presence of collagen. Sometime (1 case out of 11), waveform asymmetry was reversed from the control to the fibrotic model. It has been suggested that asymmetry is related to the conduction differences between layers[11] and according to Jacquemet et al [12], anisotropy, wavefront collisions and curvature (affected by collagen deposit) also influence EGM asymmetry. Our results are consistent with these statements.

4. Conclusion

In this work, using left atrial bilayer computational models from persistent AF patients, we have analyzed the differences between the morphologies of the EGMs recorded on the non-fibrotic atria and those recorded on the atria with interstitial collagen deposits causing electrical disruption between atria epicardial and endocardial layers. Results showed remarkable differences between the two signals for relevant characteristics. However, we need to extend our work to better understand these differences. By allowing for improved detection of regions of dense interstitial collagen deposit in the atria, through the appropriate analysis of clinical EGMs recorded during sinus rhythm in AF patients, such study may help enhance the precision and success of clinical substrate-guided ablation for AF.
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Figure 3: EGMs morphology analysis: (A) Amplitude decrease, (B) Changes on the EGMs duration, (C) Increase of the fractionations, (D) EGMs area, (E) Asymmetry and (F) Correlation coefficient between control and fibrotic EGMs.
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