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Abstract

Introduction: In many conditions contaminated signals and noises can distort electrocardiogram (ECG) signals, so synchronously measured signals could enhance analyzing the heart rate variability. Therefore, the algorithm should be able to identify reliable and optimal segment of these multimodal signals in order to accurately locate the heart beats.

Aims: This paper presents a flexible and multichannel feature extractor and classifier for the purpose of locate heartbeats by using the multi-channel recording from PhysioNet Challenge 2014 database.

Methods: In this study, for feature extraction from multimodal data the common heartbeat detectors are used in other studies are employed, but the exhausted results are promising which indicates the importance and efficiency of the proposed fusion strategy and the decision of when fusion should be done as ECG itself is the best indicator of heartbeat detection. For fusion, after segmentation of waveforms, if ECG assumed to be noisy, an index is assigned to each signal based on periodicity of the extracted features and estimation of noises of detailed coefficients of discrete wavelet transform. The signal whose index is highest is processed in that segment.

Results: The presented approach was evaluated by 200 recording of multimodal dataset provided for the PhysioNet/Computing in Cardiology Challenge 2014, and the average accuracy of the 95.39% was obtained.

1. Introduction

In most countries, cardiac disease is one of the top factors of death. Due to its high mortality rate, its accurate and reliable detection is of the utmost importance. Heart disease can be detected via vital signals including Electrocardiogram (ECG), Blood Pressure (BP) and Photoplethysmogram (PPG). Recent advances in computer science particularly in signal processing have made major contributions to automatic analysis of vital signals for cardiac abnormality detection.

Arterial Blood Pressure (ABP) is the outcome of heart’s pumping system; all heart abnormalities affect blood pressure and BP signal. Electrocardiogram is a representation of the electrical activity of heart. Different type of noise like power-line interference, baseline drift, electrode contact noise and motion artifacts, degrade the performance of detection process [1].

In recent years, regarding to importance of noise removal from cardiac signals, various techniques have been proposed. The most widely used methods include, linear filtering [2], adaptive filtering, digital filtering, mathematical morphology filtering [3], Neural Networks, independent component analysis, empirical mode decomposition [4], fuzzy logic [5], fixed coefficients filtering [6] and wavelet transform based techniques [7, 8].

This study aims to develop a reliable robust method for detection of exact heartbeat locations in continuous long-term data including electrocardiogram and blood pressure signal based on measuring the signal quality by wavelet and stochastic based techniques.

2. Material and method

2.1. Data

In this study, 200 recordings of multimodal dataset of PhysioNet/CinC Challenge 2016 were used [9]. Each record contained four to eight signals, the first of which was always an ECG signal. The remaining signals could be any of a variety of simultaneously recorded physiologic signals including blood pressure (BP), arterial line (ART), pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP), and respiration (Resp) which might be useful for robust beat detection.

2.2. Method

2.2.1. Peak detection

In the proposed method, heartbeats are detected via
ECG and BP signals, and all the R-waves in ECG and all the existing peaks in the BP signal are detected. In this method, the peak detectors which are used for detection of peaks in ECG and other waveforms are gqrs, Pan & Tompkins [10] and wabp. It should be noted that gqrs and wabp are the components of WFDB toolbox [11]. Since peaks of blood pressure signal are delayed as compared to the actual heartbeats; this delay (with value of 0.2 seconds) is considered after calculating heartbeats from BP signal so that these heartbeats can be comparable with their corresponding heartbeats omitted from ECG signal.

### 2.2.2. Fusion of the multimodal features

After finding R-waves of ECG and peaks of other waveforms, a window with the length of 5 seconds is slid along both signals. All the detected beats in this window are separately extracted from each signal.

Before fusion, it is better that it be checked whether ECG is noisy or not. Since if ECG is clean and we fuse the features of other signals which themselves are weaker than ECG in reflexing the heart activity, the performance of the algorithm may be reduced significantly. To meet this end, the ECG is segmented to 5 seconds zones, then, in each segment it is checked whether the detections have a correspond pulse in the ABP or not. If all of the R-peaks in a segment of ECG have a detected pulse in ABP signal, and the number of the detections in the segment of ECG and the synchronic segment of ABP is equal, then it is assumed that ECG is clean, and the R-peaks detected in that segment of ECG will be used for final heartbeats. If each heartbeat of ECG does not have a correspond pulse in ABP or the number of detections is not equal in ECG and ABP, then it is assumed that ECG or ABP or both are contaminated with noises. In this case, the features extracted from multimodal signals will be fused.

For fusion, a signal quality index is assigned to each segment based on estimation of noises of detailed coefficients of discrete wavelet transform and the periodicity of the detected features in that segment. The variance of the first derivative of extracted beats is calculated. The variance of derivative of heartbeats is a symbol of the established order between them, and the lower the value of variance the higher is the value of the established order. Therefore, after calculating derivative of detected heartbeats in each signal; heartbeats whose variance is smaller are assigned the higher index for periodicity. In fact, this technique is a noise detection method, as variance of derivative of heartbeats increases in noisy regions of signal, and spontaneously these parts of signal will not be used for recording the final heartbeats.

For ECG the second level of detailed coefficients of db6 is employed for estimation of noise, and for other signals such as ABP, ART, PAP, etc. the second level of detailed coefficients of haar is used. Each segment of each waveform in which the extracted features have higher periodic characteristic will be assigned higher index, and in each segment in which the distribution of noises is lower will be assigned higher index. Afterwards, the assigned indexes from both criteria will be summed, and finally, the features of each segment whose total index is the highest will be used in that zone.

### 2.2.3. Post-processing

In a 5 second comparison of ECG and BP signals, there is probability of having some repeated or undetected beats. These errors are on the border of windows. For instance in a 5 second, the detected beats of ECG are used and in the next 5 second the beats of BP are used. Thus, two incidents are possible:

1- The last heartbeat in a window of ECG is repeated as the first heartbeat in the next 5 seconds window of BP. So, in final beats, a heartbeat is recorded twice (one beat will be redundant).

2- A heartbeat is recorded a few milliseconds after the window of ECG signal is finished; and in the corresponding blood pressure signal, the heartbeat is recorded in the previous window of BP signal (one beat will be missed).

### 2.2.4. Filtering redundant beats

In order to solve the first problem, a filter is designed to omit the additional heartbeats. This filter checks the distance of all beats. Then from every two heartbeats which are closer than minimum of adults R-R interval (0.5 seconds), the one which has lower amplitude in ECG is eliminated.

### 2.2.5. Prediction of missed beats

To resolve the second problem, the position of undetected heartbeat is predicted based on the established order between other heartbeats. Since, most signals are long (10 minutes) and heartbeat rate may vary in different minutes, the location of missing beat is predicted based on the existing order between a few beats before the missing one. There are many other reasons that a heartbeat may be missed, such as existence of noise in Figure 1. Thus, possible location of missing heartbeats in noisy parts of the signal is predicted based on the established order between a few previous heartbeats. So, the prediction part plays a crucial role.
3. Results

Three parameters FP, FN, TP are used to express the results. FP (False positive) is the number of wrong heartbeats detected by the method. FN (False negative) is the number of actual heartbeats which are not detected. TP (True positive) is the number of correct heartbeats. To show the method performance, two parameters Se (Sensitivity) and PPV (Positive productivity) are defined as TP/(TP+FN)*100 and TP/(TP+FN)*100 respectively. Se, is the percentage of real beats which are true positive, and PPV is the percentage of detected beats that are true positive. The exhausted results by evaluating on 200 multimodal dataset of PhysioNet/CinC Challenge 2014 are listed in Table 1 and are compared to the other studies as well.

4. Discussion and conclusion

This study presents a method to accurately locate heartbeats in multimodal data. According to Table 1, the fusion of the information extracted from multimodal data improves the performance of the method in comparison with individual peak detectors.

The main part of this study is the strategy which is used for fusion of the features extracted from multimodal data. After finding peaks from ECG and ABP, it should be checked whether the ECG is noisy or not. As ECG is the best tool for accurately locate the heartbeats, fusion of the multimodal data is not required when ECG is clean; otherwise it is possible that even the total performance of the algorithm be reduced when ECG is clean and we fuse the features extracted from other waveforms which themselves are noisy.

In noisy zones of ECG, the assigned signal quality index using wavelet and stochastic based methods is measured based on which the algorithm will switch on the other waveforms. If all of them are noisy, the one which is cleaner and more reliable will be used. For instance, in Figure 1, however the R-peaks of the ECG are visible and detectable, the scattering of the wavelet coefficient in the right part of the ECG causes that BP signal seems more reliable by a higher quality index, and it is used for locating the heartbeats instead of that segment of ECG. In the fusion strategy, measuring signal quality by assigning

![Figure 1. In noisy zones of ECG, BP signal will be used for heartbeat detection instead.](image)
an index by using estimation of noises of the detailed coefficients in the discrete wavelet transform, and evaluating the periodicity of the extracted features from multimodal waveforms the noisy parts multimodal signal can be found where the proposed fusion strategy will define the features of which signal should be employed for locating the final heartbeats in that segment.

Table 1. The exhausted results of the proposed method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Average (%)</th>
<th>Gross (%)</th>
<th>Score (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Se</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Se</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gqrs</td>
<td>Only ECG was used</td>
<td>92.51</td>
<td>91.17</td>
<td>92.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wabp</td>
<td>Only ABP was used</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>90.22</td>
<td>92.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan &amp; Tompkins [10]</td>
<td>Only ECG was used</td>
<td>71.50</td>
<td>82.34</td>
<td>69.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenge 2014 Sample Entry</td>
<td>ECG or ABP was used</td>
<td>93.41</td>
<td>91.53</td>
<td>93.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollakazemi et. al [12]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>94.35</td>
<td>94.36</td>
<td>94.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et. al [13]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>92.27</td>
<td>91.40</td>
<td>92.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>95.87</td>
<td>94.87</td>
<td>96.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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