## Analysis of the Influence of Blood Flow on the Prediction of Non-Invasive Fractional Flow Reserve from CTCA and Reduced Order CFD

Jun-Mei Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Gaurav Chandola<sup>1</sup>, Ris Low<sup>1</sup>, Ru San Tan<sup>1,2</sup>, Aaron Sung Lung Wong<sup>1,2</sup>, Jack Wei Chieh Tan<sup>1,2</sup>, Khung Keong Yeo<sup>1,2</sup>, Ping Chai<sup>3</sup>, Lynette LS Teo<sup>3</sup>, Ching Ching Ong<sup>3</sup>, Adrian F Low<sup>3</sup>, Lohendran Baskaran<sup>1</sup>, Terrance Chua<sup>1,2</sup>, Tian Hai Koh<sup>1,2</sup>, Swee Yaw Tan<sup>1,2</sup>, Soo Teik Lim<sup>1,2</sup>, Liang Zhong<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Heart Center Singapore, Singapore; <sup>2</sup> Duke NUS Medical School, Singapore; <sup>3</sup> National University Hospital, Singapore

**Background and Aims:** We developed a method to compute non-invasive FFR<sub>B</sub> by combining computed tomography coronary angiography (CTCA) and reduced-order computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Physiologic principles of baseline and hyperemia boundary conditions were necessary for patient-specific CFD simulations. One of the principles used in FFR<sub>B</sub> calculation was that baseline coronary flow was proportional to left ventricular (LV) mass (M) as 140.22+0.418\*M (ml/min) [1]. Because the error of LV mass measurement from CTCA images was approximately 10-20% [2], we aimed to investigate the influence of the variation and errors of LV mass in FFR<sub>B</sub>.

**Methods:** All subjects (10 males, 4 females; aged  $58\pm7$  years old) underwent CTCA scans and invasive FFR measurement. From CTCA images, 3D coronary artery tree models were reconstructed and LV mass was measured for subsequent CFD simulations. Simulations with varying LV mass as 70%, 80%, 90%, 100% 110%, 120% and 130% of the measured LV mass, respectively, were repeated for every patient-specific case. The correlation between FFR<sub>B</sub> and invasive FFR was performed by using Pearson's analysis. The differences among groups with different LV mass were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) analysis.

**Results:** For the 16 coronary lesions studied, the correlation between FFR<sub>B</sub> (calculated with measured LV mass) and invasive FFR was excellent (r=0.93, p<0.001) and the difference between FFR and FFR<sub>B</sub> was non-significant (-0.016±0.037). FFR<sub>B</sub> decreased with increasing LV mass. There was no significant difference for FFR<sub>B</sub> and (FFR- FFR<sub>B</sub>) among the groups having LV mass variations less than 30% (p>0.05 from ANOVA test).

**Conclusion:** The results have shown that the variation of LV mass has an effect on the predicted value of  $FFR_B$ , however, they tend to be non-significant for the investigated variation range of LV mass (70%-130%), in comparison with invasive FFR measurements.

## **References:**

- 1. Journal of Applied Physiology 2005; 98(3):1076–1082.
- 2. European Heart Journal Cardiovas Imaging 2017; 18 (1): 95–102.