

Detection and classification of cardiac arrhythmias by machine learning: a systematic review

RC Fernandes¹, JS Paredes¹, J Salinet¹

¹ Biomedical Engineering, Engineering, Modelling and Applied Social Sciences Centre of the Federal University of ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract

Machine learning (ML) techniques can perform as better as humans at key healthcare tasks. Recent advances make it possible to perform, using ML, automatic high-level feature extraction and classification of cardiac arrhythmia. In this work, we aimed through a systematic literature review to identify the principal methods, databases, and contributions of ML on cardiac arrhythmias classification. Electronic database including PubMed, Science Direct, IEEE, Scielo, Scopus, and Web of Science were searched, from 2014 to 2019, by combining the following keywords "ECG", "heart signals", "arrhythmia", "classification" and "machine learning". 28 studies were selected as eligible. Classifications classes ranged from 2 to 17, with prevalence of 2 classes (71.4% of the studies). The most frequent applied methods were Artificial Neural Network (13 articles), followed by Support Vector Machines and Mixed techniques (5 articles respectively). MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database was used in 15 studies (54%), whereas 8 (28.5%) utilized their own data. The approach basis for evaluating the results is the confusion matrix, where up to 82% of the studies used accuracy, 67.8% precision, and 46% sensitivity/specificity. Classification of cardiac arrhythmias through ECG is of increasing interest from the research groups, and ML classification is showing rising levels of performance. It would benefit both patients and clinicians.

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of death worldwide, representing 31% of all global deaths [1]. Among these diseases, cardiac arrhythmias are one of the most important for maintaining this scenario. Factors such as genetics, aging, poor eating habits, physical inactivity and excessive use of alcohol imply changes in the anatomy and physiology of the heart, favoring the development of cardiac arrhythmias, being important diagnostic at early stage [2].

The electrocardiogram (ECG) is the most well-known and applied worldwide exam [3]. This method is of low-cost, non-invasive, and quickly accessible with excellent reproducibility [3,4]. However, its limited spatial resolution (i.e. a restricted number of electrodes) makes it difficult to assure accurately diagnose for certain heart diseases, favoring the "silent" progression of the disease [4]. Systems known as Body Surface Potential Mapping (BSPM) have up to 300 electrodes distributed over the entire length of the torso for ECGs acquisition, and the voltages are represented through the 3D torso maps [5]. This technology has shown to assist clinicians prior invasive procedures [4]. Due to the importance of the treatment of heart diseases, there is an emerging need to study a wide range of cutting-edge techniques for their analysis and diagnosis. With the advances in data processing and storage capacity and its consequent lower cost, machine learning (ML) methods have been transforming processes in medicine, including cardiology. Recent advances make it possible to perform, using ML, automatic high-level feature extraction and classification of cardiac arrhythmias. Furthermore, reviewing the ML methods applied to the diagnosis of cardiac arrhythmias becomes important in order to facilitate the development of future or ongoing research related to the topic. The aim of this work is to identify the contributions of ML in cardiology for the purpose of detecting arrhythmias, through a bibliographic literature review.

2. Methods

The review was performed using the PRISMA statement [6]. It consists of analyzing the following items: review questions, sources of information, research strategy, and selection criteria [6]. Through the papers search, the following four questions were considered:

1. What were the applied algorithms?
2. What were the system inputs and outputs?
3. What was the database used?
4. What were the statistical metrics used to assess classification efficiency?

The selection of the articles was based on the four readings method: exploratory, selective, analytical, and interpretive [7]. The exploratory reading aims to verify whether the researched work is of interest to the research. It is done by examining the summary, introduction, conclusion, and bibliography. After the exploratory reading, the materials that are of interest to the research are selected, thus following the selective reading. The next step is the analytical reading, which is a critical reading, where the purpose is to order and summarize the studies outcomes. Interpretive reading is the last step in the process of reading materials for the bibliographic review. In the interpretative reading, we try to give a broader meaning to the results obtained with the analytical reading.

The keywords "ECG", "heart signals", "arrhythmia", "classification" and "machine learning" were used in this bibliographic review to search the articles through the StArt software (State of the Art through Systematic Review, v. 3.0.3)[8]. The papers were searched between 2014 and 2019 in the following databases: PubMed, Science Direct, IEEE, Scielo, Scopus, and Web of Science. A score generated by the StArt software was evaluated, based on the recurrence of keywords in their content combined with the location in the text. The excluding criteria were review articles and abstracts published in conferences.

3. Results

A total of 532 articles were initially selected. From those, 63 were excluded (duplicated) resulting in 469 papers. 441 papers were excluded by not being related to the bibliographic review topic. A total of 28 articles were selected, where 26 studies used ECG signals for arrhythmias classification and 2 body surface potential mapping signals.

Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the selected articles. Around 47% of the studies (N = 13) used Artificial Neural Network (NN) technique for arrhythmias classification. Among the remaining articles, k-Nearest Neighbors (kNN), Naive Bayes (NB) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) were applied respectively in 5 articles each.

Not surprising, MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database was used in 15 studies (54%), whereas 8 (28.5%) utilized their own data. The remaining used databases were from: American Heart Association (AHA) (N = 2), The European ST-T database (N = 2) and , UK Biobank database (N = 1). One of the reasons for MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database popularity might be due the annual PhysioNet - Computing in Cardiology Challenges.

In terms of the choice of signal length, around 86% of the studies (N = 24) used signals with length varying between 8 s to 12 s. The studies divide the signals' database between training and classification tasks. The percentage of

the database's signals chosen for the training task narrowed between 25% and 40%, being the preferable the 30% strategy (68% of the studies), followed by the 35% (11% of the studies). Output classifications classes varied significantly, from 2 to 17, but there is a visible prevalence of 2 classes (71.4% of the studies). The evaluation of the classification tasks were assessed by different statistics metrics, in special, accuracy (23 out of 28), precision (N = 19), sensitivity/specificity (N = 13), area under the ROC curve (12) and F-score (6). As can be observed, accuracy was the most recurrent metric used to assess the success of the algorithms (82% of the studies), with the following ranges by the applied ML techniques: NN [70.00 - 99.99], kNN [95.42 - 99.30], SVM [84.80 - 98.40] and NB [77.60 - 99.14].

Accessing the articles allowed us to observe that investigators aimed classification in a wide range of cardiac arrhythmias. Atrial fibrillation was considered in 9 of the 28 studies. It was followed by: left (7) or right (6) branch block, AV block (6), premature ventricular (5) or atrial (3) contraction, idioventricular rhythm (6), sinus tachycardia (4), atrial (2) or ventricular (2) flutter, atrioventricular nodal reentry tachycardia (2), and Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome (1).

Table 1. Methods and metrics of each article

REF	ML	Database	Length	Training	Class	SE	SP	ACC
[9]	NN	MIT	10 s	30%	17	83.91	99.41	
[10]	NN	Own	10 s	40%	2	99.19	99.44	
[11]	NN	MIT	8 s	30%	5			99.39
[12]	kNN	Own	12 s*	30%	2			95.42
[13]	NB	Own	5 s	28%	2			77.60
[14]	NB	AHA	8 s	35%	2			98.20
[15]	SVM	Own	10 s*	35%	13			88.07
[16]	NN	Own	8 s	30%	2	97.60	96.20	
[17]	NN	ST-T	10 s	30%	17			70.00
[18]	NN	MIT	8 s	35%	2	98.70	99.90	99.75
[19]	kNN	Own	9 s	30%	2	94.41	98.45	97.50
[20]	NN	Own	10 s	30%	2			95.70
[21]	kNN	MIT	8 s	30%	3	88.00	96.00	96.00
[22]	NN	MIT	10 s	30%	2	99.99	99.99	99.99
[23]	kNN	AHA	10 s	28%	2	99.7	98.9	99.30
[24]	NN	ST-T	10 s	25%	2	98.98	98.98	
[25]	SVM	MIT	12 s	30%	2			91.10
[26]	NB	MIT	10 s	30%	2			99.14
[27]	NB	MIT	10 s	25%	2	95.63	97.81	
[28]	SVM	MIT	10 s	30%	6			96.83
[29]	NN	MIT	8 s	30%	2			98.73
[30]	SVM	MIT	10 s	30%	2	91.1	98.7	98.40
[31]	NN	MIT	8 s	30%	4			92.10
[32]	NN	MIT	9 s	30%	2			83.40
[33]	NB	Own	10 s	30%	2			98.30
[34]	NN	MIT	8 s	30%	3			97.77
[35]	kNN	MIT	8 s	28%	2	99.61	100.00	99.45
[36]	SVM	UKbank	11 s	30%	2	75.00	97.50	84.80

*Indicates that investigators did apply overlapping strategy on the signals segment for training/classification

NN: Neural Networks; kNN: k-Nearest Neighbors; NB: Naive Bayes; SVM: Support Vector Machines; SE: Sensitivity; SP: Specificity; ACC: Accuracy.

4. Discussion

In this work, through a bibliographic literature review, we showed the principal methods, databases, and contributions of ML in cardiac arrhythmias classification. Overall, the applied methods achieved good rates of success even for complex cardiac arrhythmias. NN and SVM outperform the other methods and public databases have contributed without precedents to the evolution of the field. But, as few authors use the same evaluation scheme for testing, it is difficult to make a fair comparison between methods.

Classification of cardiac arrhythmias through ECG is of increasing interest from the research groups, and ML classification is showing rising levels of performance. It would benefit both patients and clinicians. Public databases and scientific challenging competitions based on clinical problems (such as PhysioNet - Computing in Cardiology Challenge) have contributed without precedents to the evolution of the field.

Acknowledgments

Program of Alliances for Education and Training (Scholarship Brazil - PAEC OEA-GCUB-2017).

References

- [1] World health organization cardiovascular disease facts, 2018. Available in: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/> Access in: 2020-03-17.
- [2] Vancampfort D, Probst M, Scheewe T, Herdt D, Sweers K, Knäpen J, Winkel R, Hert M. Relationships between physical fitness, physical activity, smoking and metabolic and mental health parameters in people with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry* 2013;15:25–32.
- [3] Guyton A, Hall J. *Tratado de fisiologia médica*. 12 edition. Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier.
- [4] Luz E, W.R.Schawartz, Chavez G, Menotti D. Ecg-based heartbeat classification for arrhythmia detection: A survey. *Elsevier BV* 2016;127:144–164.
- [5] Ambroli L, Corlan A. *Body surface potential mapping*. em *comprehensive electrocardiology*, 2011.
- [6] Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, D.Ghersli, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (prisma-p). *statement Syst* 2015;4.
- [7] Ânima EG. *Manual de revisão bibliográfica sistemática integrativa: a pesquisa baseada em evidências*. 6 edition.
- [8] Zamboni A, Thommazo D, E.C.M.Hernandes, Fabri S. Start uma ferramenta computacional de apoio à revisão sistemática. *ufba* ;2010.
- [9] Yildirim O, Plawiak P, Tan R, Acharya R. Arrhythmia detection using deep convolutional neural network with long duration ecg signals. *Comp Biol Med* 2018;102(1):411–420.
- [10] Cai W, Chen Y, Guo J, Han B, Shi Y, Ji L, Wang J, Zhang G, Luo J. Accurate detection of atrial fibrillation from 12-lead ecg using deep neural network. *Comput Biol Med* 2020; 116:103–378.
- [11] Yildirim O. A novel wavelet sequence based on deep bidirectional lstm network model for ecg signal classification. *Comput Biol Med* 2018;96:189–202.
- [12] McGillivray M, Cheng W, Peters N, Christensen K. Machine learning methods for locating re-entrant drivers from electrograms in a model of atrial fibrillation. *R Soc Open Sci* 2018;5(4):172434.
- [13] Orozco-Duque A, Bustamante J, Castellanos-Dominguez G. Semi-supervised clustering of fractionated electrograms for electroanatomical atrial mapping. *Biomed Eng Online* 2016;15–44.
- [14] Orozco-Duque A, Tobon C, Ugarte JP, Morillo C, Bustamante J. Electroanatomical mapping based on discrimination of electrograms clusters for localization of critical sites in atrial fibrillation. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 2019;141:37–46.
- [15] Li Q, Rajagopalan C, Clifford GD. A machine learning approach to multi-level ecg signal quality classification. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine* 2014; 117(3):435–447.
- [16] Mehrang S, Airaksinen J, Koivisto T, Pankaala M, Tadi MJ, Hurnanen T, Knuutila T, Lahdenoja O, Jaakkola J, Jaakkola S. Reliability of self-applied smartphone mechanocardiography for atrial fibrillation detection. *IEEE Access* 2019 ; 7:146801–146812.
- [17] Sabouri S, SadAbadi H, Dabanloo NJ. Neural network classification of body surface potential contour map to detect myocardial infarction location. *computing in cardiology, belfast*, 2010;301-304.
- [18] Anwar SM, Gul M, Majid M, Alnowami M. Arrhythmia classification of ecg signals using hybrid features. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine* 2018;1–8.
- [19] Zhou S, Tan B. Electrocardiogram soft computing using hybrid deep learning cnn-elm. *applied soft computing* 2020; 86:105778–89.
- [20] Swapna G, Vinayakumar R, Soman K. Diabetes detection using deep learning algorithms. *ICT Express* 2018; 4(4):243–246.
- [21] Li Z, Feng X, Wu Z, Yang C, Bai B, Yang Q. Classification of atrial fibrillation recurrence based on a convolutional neural network with svm architecture. *IEEE Access* 2019; 7:77849–77856.
- [22] Porumb M, Iadanza E, Massaro S, Pecchia L. A convolutional neural network approach to detect congestive heart failure. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control* 2020; 55:101597–101606.
- [23] Picon A, Irusta U, Álvarez gila A, Aramendi E, Alonso-Atienza F, Figueira C, Ayala U, Garrote E, Wik L, Kramer-Johabseb J. Mixed convolutional and long short-term memory network for the detection of lethal ventricular arrhythmia. *PLoS One* 2019;14(5).
- [24] Mastoi Qua, Wah TY, Raj RG. Reservoir computing based echo state networks for ventricular heart beat classification. *Appl Sci* 2019;9:702.

- [25] Refahi MS, Nasiri JA, Ahadi S. Ecg arrhythmia classification using least squares twin support vector machines. *electrical engineering (icee), iranian conference on, mashhad*. 2018; 1619–1623.
- [26] Li D, Zhang H, Zhang M. Wavelet de-noising and genetic algorithm-based least squares twin svm for classification of arrhythmias. *circuits syst signal process*. volume 36. 2017; 2828–2846.
- [27] Rahhal MM, Bazi Y, Alajlan N, Malek S, Al-Hichri H, Melgani F, Zuair MA. Classification of aami heartbeat classes with an interactive elm ensemble learning approach. *biomedical signal processing and control* 2015; 19:56–67.
- [28] Saha S, Ghorai S. Effect of feature fusion for discrimination of cardiac pathology. *proceedings of the 2015 third international conference on computer, communication, control and information technology (c3it), hooghly*. 2015; 1–6.
- [29] Shi H, Wang H, Jin Y, Zhao L, Liu C. Automated heartbeat classification based on convolutional neural network with multiple kernel sizes. *ieee fifth international conference on big data computing service and applications (bigdataservice), newark, ca, usa*. 2019; 311–315.
- [30] Oliveira BR, Abreu CCE, Duarte MAQ, Filho JV. Geometrical features for premature ventricular contraction recognition with analytic hierarchy process based machine learning algorithms selection. *computer methods and programs in biomedicine*. volume 169. 2019; 59–69.
- [31] Debnath T, Biswas T, Ashik MH, Dash S. Auto-encoder based nonlinear dimensionality reduction of ecg data and classification of cardiac arrhythmia groups using deep neural network. *4th international conference on electrical engineering and information communication technology (icee-ict), dhaka, bangladesh*. 2018; 27–31.
- [32] Swapna G, Soman P, Vinayakumar R. Automated detection of cardiac arrhythmia using deep learning techniques. *procedia computer science* 2018;132:1192–1201.
- [33] Maršánová L, Ronzhina M, Smíšek R, Vitek M, Němcová A, Smital L, Nováková M. Ecg features and methods for automatic classification of ventricular premature and ischemic heartbeats: A comprehensive experimental study. *scientific reports* 2017;7:11239.
- [34] Yang W, Si Y, Wang d, Guo B. Automatic recognition of arrhythmia based on principal component analysis network and linear support vector machine. *comput biol med*. volume 1:101. 2018; 22–32.
- [35] Martis RJ, Acharya R, Adeli H, Prasad H, Tan JH, Chua KC, Too CL, Yeo SWJ, Tong L. Computer aided diagnosis of atrial arrhythmia using dimensionality reduction methods on transform domain representation. *biomedical signal processing and control* 2014;13:295–305.
- [36] Oster J, Hopewell JC, Zibera K, Wijesurendra R, Camm CF, Casadei B, Tarassenko L. Identification of patients with atrial fibrillation: a big data exploratory analysis of the uk biobank. : a big data exploratory analysis of the uk biobank. *physiol meas* 2020;41(2).

Address for correspondence:

Joao Salinet
 Biomedical Engineering - CECS- UFABC Street: Av.Anchieta,
 Sao Bernardo do Campo - SP, Brazil
 joao.salinet@ufabc.edu.br