Safety Ranges for Heart Rate Variability Parameters in Hyperbaric Environments
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Abstract

The Autonomic Nervous System (ANS) tries to maintain homeostasis in hyperbaric environments, but its activity may present large variability between subjects. The aim of this study is to establish safety ranges for ANS-related indices derived from the electrocardiographic signal (ECG) during diving and use them to identify subjects with abnormal ANS response and avoid potential diving accidents.

A database with ECG recordings from 28 subjects introduced into a hyperbaric chamber was used. During immersion, five stages were studied at 1, 3 and 5 atm during descent and ascent. Indices of heart rate variability, extracted from ECG, reflecting the sympathetic and parasympathetic ANS response, were calculated and regularised with respect to their values at the initial stage at 1 atm. In particular, four time-related parameters extracted from the RR series and four frequency parameters based on the powers of the low and high frequency bands were used.

High inter-subject variability in the ANS response was observed in all stages. The eight parameters were analysed for each stage and, as a result, some subjects presented highly uncommon responses with higher chances of suffering a diving accident, reflected in many parameters out of the interquartile range. This allows establishing safety ranges for ANS-related parameters that can help in the identification of subjects with potential health risk.

1. Introduction

Some common activities that human beings have learnt to do may represent a physiological challenge for the body to maintain homeostasis while adapting to the surrounding conditions. One of them is diving in deep waters, which can be risky if not performed in a controlled manner. Water density is about 800 times higher than air density, so a descent of 10 m in water supposes an increase in barometric pressure of 1 atm.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Database

The database used in this study consisted of 28 volunteers (25 males and 3 females), with a mean age of 28.5 ± 6.2 years. All the volunteers gave written consent validated by the Ethics Committee.

ECG and respiration signals of all subjects were recorded inside a hyperbaric chamber of the Hospital General de la Defensa en Zaragoza. The device used for ECG
recording was Nautilus, developed by the University of Kaunas, Lithuania [3]. This device allowed us to record the ECG signal with three non-orthogonal leads at a sampling frequency of 2000 Hz.

The protocol inside the hyperbaric chamber had a duration of about 2 hours of immersion up to a maximum of 5 atm in pressure with time intervals in agreement with the decompression table recommendations (see www.nau.i.org/resources/). During immersion, five stops lasting five minutes each were performed at 1, 3 and 5 atm during descent (D) and ascent (A), and subsequently analysed: from 1D (basal state) to 3D, 5 (maximum depth), 3A and back to 1A.

2.2. Heart rate variability analysis

Delineation of the recorded ECG signal to detect the position of the heart beats was performed using an algorithm based on the wavelet transform [4]. Ectopic beats, missed beats and false detections were corrected [5]. Then, the series of R-wave intervals were used to compute the instantaneous HR signal at a sampling rate of 4 Hz using the integral pulse frequency modulation model [6]. Low frequency modulation of HR, i.e. mean heart rate, was subtracted by low-pass filtering at 0.03 Hz in order to obtain HRV signal.

Eight ECG-derived indices from the HRV signal, which reflect the sympathetic and parasympathetic ANS response, were calculated and regularised with respect to their values at the reference stage 1D.

Some parts of the ECG recordings were discarded when superposition of respiratory rate on low frequency band appeared or poor quality recordings were identified. This reduced the number of valid subjects for the analysis to 15 in stages 3D and 3A, and 13 in stages 5 and 1A.

2.3. Time-domain HRV indices

Four time-domain parameters were computed from the R-wave interval series, and then their averaged values in the last four minutes for each immersion stage were obtained:

- $NN(s)$: median of the Normal-to-Normal intervals.
- $IQR(s)$: interquartile range of $NN$ intervals as a measure of statistical dispersion.
- $RMSSD(s)$: root mean square of the successive differences between adjacent $NN$ intervals.
- $pNN50(\%)$: number of pairs of successive $NN$ intervals that differ by more than 50 ms, divided by the total number of $NN$ intervals.

2.4. Frequency-domain HRV indices

Four classic frequency-domain parameters were calculated based on the power spectral distribution analysis of the HRV signal. This analysis was performed using the smoothed pseudo Wigner-Ville distribution, since it provides a trade-off between time-frequency resolution, as well as low variance power estimations. To reduce the impact of interfering terms in time and frequency, smoothing Hamming windows with lengths of 203 samples (in time) and 513 samples (in frequency) were used, respectively [7]. The four indices were based on the powers of the classical bands of low frequency (LF, 0.04 - 0.15 Hz) and high frequency (HF, 0.15 - 0.4 Hz) of the HRV spectrum in the last four minutes of each stage:

- $P_{LF/(a.u.)}$: mean of the power in the LF band.
- $P_{HF/(a.u.)}$: mean of the power in the HF band.
- $P_{LF/n.(u.)}$: mean of the power in the LF band over the sum of the powers of both LF and HF bands. $P_{LF/n.} = P_{LF}/(P_{LF} + P_{HF})$.
- $R_{LF/HF/(u.u.)}$: mean of the ratio between the power in the LF band and the power in the HF band. $R_{LF/HF} = P_{LF}/P_{HF}$.

2.5. Parameter regularisation

The average of the eight index values of the last four minutes of each stage of immersion were calculated. Then, they were regularised with respect to the values of the reference stage 1D as follows, so that all of them are in the [0,1] range:

$$R(Y_S) = \frac{Y_S}{Y_S + Y_{1D}},$$

where $Y$ is the parameter to regularise and $S$ is the stage (3D, 5, 3A or 1A).

2.6. Statistical analysis

The regularised parameters were analysed to evaluate whether the subjects presented abnormal ANS responses to changes in pressure during the different stages of immersion. This analysis was conducted separately for each subject of the database in two steps:

1) Leave-one-out: interquartile (25-75 percentile) and 5-95 percentile ranges were computed leaving the analysed subject out.
2) The number of regularised indices from the analysed subject that were out of the ranges obtained in step 1) were calculated.

3. Results

Inter-subject variability in the four stages is notable in all the parameters except for $NN$ and RMSSD, whose reg-
ularised values are slightly larger than 0.5 for all subjects and stages (Figure 1, panels (a) and (c)). Therefore, the ANS response of subjects showing relatively small differences in these parameters with respect to the rest of subjects would make them be classified as abnormal. In contrast, pNN50 is increased in nearly all subjects and stages with respect to the reference stage (values above 0.5), and with large variability between subjects in both interquartile range (≈0.15 - 0.2 n.u.) and 5-95 percentile range (≈0.4 n.u.) (Figure 1, panel (d)).

Regarding the powers in LF and HF bands, interquartile and 5-95 percentile ranges are relatively wide with a decreasing trend in $P_{LF}$ as the immersion progresses (width of ≈0.15 - 0.2 n.u. in interquartile range, and ≈0.3 - 0.35 n.u. in the 5-95 percentile range; Figure 1, panel (e)). On the other hand, $P_{HF}$ exhibits a bi-phasic behaviour with larger values at higher pressures, as shown in previous studies [8,9], interquartile range decreasing in width (from ≈0.25 n.u. at stage 3D to ≈0.1 n.u. at stage 1A), and 5-95 percentile range maintaining a width of ≈0.35 - 0.4 n.u. in all stages (Figure 1, panel (f)). It is worth noting that the ratios of powers behave differently: the ranges of $P_{LF}$ do not show relevant changes between stages with widths of ≈0.15 n.u. and ≈0.2 n.u. in interquartile range and 5-95 percentile range, respectively (Figure 1, panel (g)), whereas the ranges of $R_{LF/HF}$ are notably wider implying significant intersubject variability in all stages (widths of ≈0.4 n.u. in interquartile range, and ≈0.5 n.u. in 5-95 percentile range; Figure 1, panel (h)).

Figure 2 shows the classification of each specific subject according to the number of the eight regularised parameters that are either out of the interquartile range (top panel) or out of the 5-95 percentile range (bottom panel). Due to the removal of some parts of the ECG in some subjects, not all of them are present in the analysis of the four stages of immersion (15 valid for stages 3D and 3A; 13 valid for stages 5 and 1A). The most interesting findings of this analysis are:

• No subjects have all parameters within the interquartile range in the four stages, meaning that a subject showing a common ANS response in a specific stage (i.e. low number of parameters out of interquartile range) does not guarantee to be the case in the rest of immersion (see subjects #4, #27 or #28).
• Some subjects present almost all their parameters within the 5-95 percentile range in the four stages, but with a relevant number of them out of the interquartile range in some stages (see subjects #6 and #9).
• Some subjects have many parameters out of the interquartile range in the four stages of immersion (see subject #17).

3.1. Limitations

The complete database is not very large due to the implicit difficulties that entail recordings in hyperbaric chamber. Therefore, removal of signal segments with low respiratory frequency or low quality, and thus removal of the subject from the analysis of a particular stage, implies a relevant limitation in the analysis performed in this study. Some subjects breath slowly at the reference stage, but not during the immersion. Separation of the effects on HRV due to respiration and those due to pressure changes is challenging and needs to be further explored.

4. Conclusion

The analysis performed in this study is a first approach to establishing safety ranges for ANS-related parameters.
in a controlled simulated immersion in a hyperbaric chamber. This can be helpful for the identification of subjects with potential risk for their health in real diving or other hyperbaric activities with more uncontrolled factors, thus discouraging their execution. Extending the database and including more parameters could be of high interest to increase robustness of the results.
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