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Abstract

Autonomic nervous system (ANS) has been suggested to play a major role in the pathogenesis of asthma. This hypothesis has motivated large research, revealing a reduced modulation of the heart rate in subjects with uncontrolled asthma, when compared to asthmatics with controlled symptomatology. In this work, we assessed ANS activity through heart rate variability analysis in a group of asthmatics classified attending to the control of their symptoms. This information was later used for training a logistic regression classifier aiming at differentiating between the levels of control in asthmatic patients. The accuracy of the classifier improved when including ANS information (71.77\%, versus 64.73\% when only clinical parameters were considered), suggesting that ANS assessment could contribute to better non-invasive asthma monitoring.

1. Introduction

Asthma is a heterogeneous chronic respiratory disorder whose prevalence has been increasing since the second half of last century [1]. It is characterized by a variable expiratory airflow limitation and bronchial hyper-responsiveness. Despite asthma is usually accompanied by chronic airway inflammation, there is controversy regarding the role of pro-inflammatory substances and inflammatory cells in the bronchial hyper-responsiveness. Since upper airway constriction is governed by vagal activity, the role of the autonomic nervous system (ANS) in the pathogenesis of asthma has attracted research interest in recent years, with several studies suggesting that sympathovagal activity might be altered in asthmatics [2, 3].

Whereas the physiological underlying origin of asthma is not completely understood, its diagnosis and monitoring in adults is performed through a well-established clinical routine, consisting in the measurement of airway function using spirometric tests and in the assessment of inflammatory markers such as the levels of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) or immunoglobulin E (IgE). Also self-applied questionnaires are employed for evaluating whether the symptomatology remains controlled or not, although these surveys remain rather subjective. Therefore, non-invasive ANS assessment techniques, such as heart rate variability (HRV) analysis have been considered, suggesting a decreased cardiac autonomic modulation in uncontrolled asthma when compared with controlled asthma [4]. Hence, it is possible that ANS assessment through HRV analysis may add some clinical value for the automatic stratification of asthmatic subjects. Departing from this hypothesis, we evaluated the HRV of a group of asthmatic adults classified attending to their asthma control level, and further used this information for improving the stratification of the analyzed subjects in controlled and uncontrolled asthma.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Database

The dataset in the study consists of recordings from 30 asthmatic volunteers classified attending to their level of control of the asthmatic symptoms (19 with controlled asthma, CA, and 11 with uncontrolled asthma, UA). Classification was performed according to the asthma control test (ACT) score (UA if ACT $\leq 19$, CA otherwise) [5]. All of them were patients of the Santa Creu i Sant Pau Hospital in Barcelona (Spain), and provided a written informed consent in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki before being included in this study. The volunteers underwent a biosignals acquisition protocol: they remained sat and without talking for 10 minutes, during which multi-lead ECG and respiratory effort (using a respiratory belt) signals were acquired, at sampling rates of 1000 and 256 Hz respectively. Afterwards, they performed a spirometric
test in which their forced expiratory volume in one second relative to their forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC) was obtained. Also their level of FeNO, blood IgE, peripheral eosinophils count and induced sputum inflammatory cells count were assessed. The two latter measurements were used by the clinicians to establish whether the subjects presented peripheral eosinophilia (periph eos) or airway inflammation (inflam). The demographics and measured clinical parameters of the subjects are summarized in Table 1. None of them presented cardiac, neurological or endocrine disease, nor other obstructive disease different from asthma at the time of the study.

### 2.2. Signal Preprocessing

Baseline wander was removed from the ECGs, and respiratory signals were filtered with a 3rd-order Butterworth band-pass filter (0.05-1 Hz cut-off frequencies). Then, R peak detection was performed using a wavelet-based approach [6], and ectopic beats (0.13% of the total number of beats) were detected and discarded from the analysis using the method presented in [7]. The respiratory signals were downsampled at 4 Hz, and used for estimating the instantaneous respiratory rate, as proposed in [8].

### 2.3. Heart Rate Variability Analysis

The modulating signal, \( m(t) \), which carries information from the autonomic modulation of the heart rate (HR) was estimated using the time-varying integral pulse frequency modulation model [9], which accounts for the presence of ectopic beats. This model allows to obtain the instantaneous HR signal, \( d_{\text{inv}}(t) \), from the beat time occurrences. Then, \( d_{\text{inv}}(t) \) was low-pass filtered to calculate a time-varying mean HR, \( d_{\text{mean}}(t) \), and \( m(t) \) was obtained as:

\[
m(t) = \frac{d_{\text{inv}}(t) - d_{\text{mean}}(t)}{d_{\text{mean}}(t)}.
\]

Finally, \( m(t) \) was sampled at 4 Hz and, for simplicity, it is referred to as \( m \) (vector notation) hereon.

A preliminary analysis revealed the existence of respiratory rates lower than or very close to 0.15 Hz, frequency considered the limit between low-frequency (LF) and high-frequency (HF) bands ([0.04, 0.15] Hz and [0.15, 0.4] Hz respectively) in HRV studies, in the 13% of the subjects. Since the power content of the HF band has been traditionally related with respiratory modulation of the HR, the interpretation of the frequency components laying within it when respiratory influence is shifted towards the LF band remains an open debate, and traditional frequency-domain HRV analysis is compromised [10]. For this reason, in this work we addressed the HRV analysis using an orthogonal subspace projection (OSP) decomposition approach [11]. Essentially, respiratory information is removed from \( m \) using a projection matrix, \( P \), which is defined as:

\[
P = X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T ,
\]

where \( X \) is constructed using delayed versions of the respiratory effort signal up to 2 seconds [11]. Afterwards, the respiratory-related component of \( m \) can be obtained as:

\[
m_{\text{respir}} = P m ,
\]

and the residual component, i.e., the non-respiratory related component, was calculated as:

\[
m_{\text{resid}} = (I - P) m = m - m_{\text{respir}} ,
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix. Afterwards, the spectra of both components were obtained using the Welch’s periodogram (50 s windows, 50% overlap) approach (an example of the resulting spectra is displayed in Fig. 1). Whereas non-respiratory related power, \( P_{\text{non}} \), was computed as the power content of \( m_{\text{resid}} \) within the LF Hz band, the power of the respiration-related component, \( P_{\text{respir}} \), was obtained as the power of \( m_{\text{respir}} \) in the [0.04, 0.15] Hz band (being \( \overline{HR} \) the mean HR in Hz). Also the ratio \( R_{\text{CSR}} = P_{\text{respir}} / P_{\text{non}} \) was computed as an alternative sympathovagal balance measurement [11]. Finally, the total power (TP) was calculated as the sum of the classical LF and HF powers. The described indexes were calculated from five-minute windows of \( m \), with four-minute overlap.

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

Subjects were characterized by the median of their HRV parameters. Normality of the data was rejected using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, so differences among groups were assessed using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test, setting the significance threshold to 0.05.

---

**Table 1. Demographics and clinical features of the two groups.** Non-categorical parameters are displayed as median (interquartile range). Statistical significant differences among groups (p < 0.05) are indicated with *; \( N \): number of subjects; \( \text{BMI} \): body mass index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics:</th>
<th>Controlled Asthma</th>
<th>Uncontrolled Asthma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( N ) (N)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>50.00 (19.00)</td>
<td>49.00 (20.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male/Female)</td>
<td>11 / 8</td>
<td>2 / 9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>26.40 (3.90)</td>
<td>30.00 (8.25)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features:</th>
<th>Controlled Asthma</th>
<th>Uncontrolled Asthma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atopy (Yes/No)</td>
<td>16 / 3</td>
<td>8 / 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1)/FVC (%)</td>
<td>73.00 (10.50)</td>
<td>56.00 (23.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNO (ppb)</td>
<td>27.00 (13.75)</td>
<td>41.00 (65.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periph Eos (Yes/No)</td>
<td>7 / 12</td>
<td>6 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE (UI/ml)</td>
<td>131.00 (149.50)</td>
<td>204.00 (449.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflam (Yes/No)</td>
<td>4 / 15</td>
<td>3 / 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \text{HF} \) is constructed using delayed versions of the respiratory effort signal up to 2 seconds [11]. Afterwards, the respiratory-related component of \( m \) can be obtained as:

\[
P = X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T ,
\]

where \( X \) is constructed using delayed versions of the respiratory effort signal up to 2 seconds [11]. Afterwards, the respiratory-related component of \( m \) can be obtained as:

\[
m_{\text{respir}} = P m ,
\]

and the residual component, i.e., the non-respiratory related component, was calculated as:

\[
m_{\text{resid}} = (I - P) m = m - m_{\text{respir}} ,
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix. Afterwards, the spectra of both components were obtained using the Welch’s periodogram (50 s windows, 50% overlap) approach (an example of the resulting spectra is displayed in Fig. 1). Whereas non-respiratory related power, \( P_{\text{non}} \), was computed as the power content of \( m_{\text{resid}} \) within the LF Hz band, the power of the respiration-related component, \( P_{\text{respir}} \), was obtained as the power of \( m_{\text{respir}} \) in the [0.04, 0.15] Hz band (being \( \overline{HR} \) the mean HR in Hz). Also the ratio \( R_{\text{CSR}} = P_{\text{respir}} / P_{\text{non}} \) was computed as an alternative sympathovagal balance measurement [11]. Finally, the total power (TP) was calculated as the sum of the classical LF and HF powers. The described indexes were calculated from five-minute windows of \( m \), with four-minute overlap.

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

Subjects were characterized by the median of their HRV parameters. Normality of the data was rejected using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, so differences among groups were assessed using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test, setting the significance threshold to 0.05.
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ences among groups (p < 0.05) are indicated with *.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controlled Asthma</th>
<th>Uncontrolled Asthma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats per min)</td>
<td>73.98 (22.48)</td>
<td>77.79 (7.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (a.u. × 10^3)</td>
<td>13.65 (10.16)</td>
<td>4.85 (3.13)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P\text{resid} (a.u. × 10^3)</td>
<td>5.67 (6.18)</td>
<td>2.02 (1.67)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P\text{resid} (a.u. × 10^3)</td>
<td>2.66 (3.89)</td>
<td>0.85 (1.43)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R\text{resid} (n.u.)</td>
<td>2.38 (2.20)</td>
<td>2.19 (4.78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mean accuracy (Acc), sensibility (Sens), specificity (Spec) and F1 score of the classifier using different sets of features (displayed between brackets).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acc (%)</th>
<th>Sens (%)</th>
<th>Spec (%)</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{FEV/FVC, IgE}</td>
<td>64.73</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>40.09</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{P\text{resid}, R\text{resid}}</td>
<td>64.60</td>
<td>82.84</td>
<td>33.09</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{FEV/FVC, IgE, P\text{resid}}</td>
<td>68.33</td>
<td>79.58</td>
<td>48.91</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{FEV/FVC, IgE, R\text{resid}}</td>
<td>67.03</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{FEV/FVC, P\text{resid}, R\text{resid}}</td>
<td>70.37</td>
<td>85.37</td>
<td>44.45</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{FEV/FVC, IgE, P\text{resid}, R\text{resid}}</td>
<td>71.77</td>
<td>84.37</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Normalized power spectral density of the modu-
ating signal (blue) and the respiratory effort (pink) in a five-minute segment are displayed in a). Since the respir-
ory activity lays below 0.15 Hz (black dashed line), in b) orthogonal subspace projection was applied to separate the respiratory-related (green) and -unrelated (red) compo-
nents of the modulating signal.

2.5. Logistic Regression Classifier

A logistic regression (LR) classifier was used for strat-
ifying the subjects in CA and UA. Sequential feature se-
lection was applied to all the clinical parameters by max-
imizing the accuracy. Then, the two best performing fea-
tures were combined with the introduced HRV measure-
ments. The classifier was trained using the leave-one-out technique combined with bootstrapping in order to avoid overfitting [12], following the scheme in [13] (100 boot-
strapped training sets were used for each subject). Then, the accuracy, sensitivity, specificity and F1 score were calculated as the mean values of all the constructed classifiers.

3. Results

Differences in the HRV parameters among groups and the performance of the classifier for several sets of features are summarized in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. Attending to HRV analysis, decreased TP, P\text{resid} and P\text{resid} were found in the UA group, although no difference in R\text{resid} was assessed.

On the other hand, FEV/FVC and IgE levels were sele-
ced as the clinical features that achieved the best accuracy (64.73%), closely followed by the FeNO (although adding the latter to the classifier did not improve the accuracy, so it is not considered hereon). The use of P\text{resid} and R\text{resid} resulted in a similar performance than the clinical features, whereas combining any of them with FEV/FVC and IgE improved the classification accuracy. Moreover, adding both HRV features simultaneously resulted in the best performance, with an accuracy of 71.77% and an increase in sensitivity and specificity of more than a 5% and almost a 10% respectively, when compared with the classification using only clinical features. Finally, replacing the IgE by P\text{resid} and R\text{resid} also resulted in an improved performance with respect to the classification using FEV/FVC and IgE. Slightly reduced performance was achieved when considering TP instead of P\text{resid}.

4. Discussion

In this work, the inclusion of ANS activity information for the improvement of automatic asthmatic subjects classification has been proposed. ANS assessment was accomplished using HRV analysis, which remains highly non-invasive. Since a preliminary study revealed a generally low respiratory rate that might compromise the interpretation of a traditional frequency-domain HRV analysis, we proposed the use of an OSP decomposition of the HR modulating signal, which allows to uncouple the respiratory linear-related and -unrelated components of HRV [11]. As displayed in Table 2, the frequency-domain analy-
sis of these components revealed a decreased power in both of them in the UA group, thus suggesting a reduced autonomic modulation of HR in subjects with a worse asthma control. Whereas previous studies revealed an increased vagal response to autonomic tests in asthmatics than in controls [2] that appears to be related with asthma severity [3], Lutfi et al. reported a global decreased HRV in awake adults with uncontrolled asthma when compared with controlled asthmatics [4], in concordance with this study.

Increased body mass index and a larger relative num-
ber of females were assessed in the UA group. Whereas obesity has been related with decreased HRV in previ-
ous studies [14], females usually present decreased sympathetic tone and increased vagal tone than males [15], which could compromise the interpretation of the aforementioned results. However, the body mass index was uncorrelated with all the HRV measurements and the respiratory-related component of HRV was lower instead of higher in UA, suggesting that the differences in ANS activity between groups may be due to other causes than obesity or gender.

Since the monitoring of an individual asthmatic status can not be performed in a continuous-time manner and might require to visit the hospital and some invasive measurement such as blood tests, we postulated that the inclusion of ANS information could result in a better stratification of the subjects attending to the control of the disease. In this way, we employed a LR classifier which was trained with different sets of features in order to compare their classification performance. Unfortunately, the small sample size did not allow to have separated test and train sets. Applying a sequential feature selection to all the available clinical features raised FEV₁/FVC and IgE as those that maximized the accuracy of the classifier. As displayed in Table 3, including either P non-or R non slightly increased the classification performance. Moreover, including both features simultaneously resulted in the best performance, with a 7% increase in the classification accuracy with respect to the case when only clinical features were employed, although it could be related with the use of a higher number of features. On the other hand, if the HRV features were used to substitute IgE, the accuracy also raised almost a 5%. Therefore, the inclusion of ANS information might be a suitable complement for the clinical practice, which could improve the self-monitoring in asthmatic subjects.

5. Conclusion

HRV analysis revealed a decreased autonomic modulation of the HR in uncontrolled asthmatics when compared with controlled asthmatics, in concordance with previous works. The inclusion of ANS information resulted in a better stratification of the subjects than using only clinical features, which encourages further research in the field of non-invasive asthma monitoring.
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